



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,063	10/24/2005	Tatsuhiro Takahashi	Q75351	6555	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 12/18/2007	EXAMINER			
	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	511, DC 20037		1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/554,063	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Patrick D. Niland	1796	

		Tatrox B. Miana		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 13 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
۵,	time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
	The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this A		th in the final rejectionh	iahawaa ia lataa In
U)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	•	
Exter	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR	1.136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have under set fo may i	been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropri riginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must h	e filed within two month	s of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
	NDMENTS			
3. 🔀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
	(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	•	OTE below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	reducing or simplifying	the iccues for
	appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially	reducing or simplifying	lile issues ioi
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	eiected claims.	
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,	
4. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗀	•		,	` ,
6. 🗀			e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5-13 and 15-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will no	nt he entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10 F	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	or the status of the claims after	entry is below of attack	icu.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reasons stated in the final rejection of 7/13/07.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. [☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. [Other:		Patrick D Niland Primary Examiner	
	·		Art Unit: 1796	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examined claims were not considered with respect to claims 3, 7-8, 13, and 15-18 requiring an amorphous thermoplastic. These issues need further consideration. Newly presented claim 8 was not limited to the species proposed. The Markush group proposed requires further consideration.